Document 19

Filed 05/21/2004 Page 1 of 2

HILEO-CLERK

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS! MAY 21 AM 10: 47 MARSHALL DIVISION

TX EASTERN-MARSHALL

Compression Labs, Incorporated, §		§
	Plaintiff	§ BY
	v.	\$
1.	Adobe Systems Incorporated,	8 §
2.	Agfa Corporation	8
3.	Apple Computer, Incorporated,	8
4.	Axis Communications, Incorporated,	8
5.	Canon, USA, Incorporated,	8
6.	Concord Camera Corporation,	8
7.	Creative Labs, Incorporated,	8
8.	Eastman Kodak Company,	8
9.	Fuji Photo Film U.S.A., Incorporated	8
10.	Fujitsu Computer Products of	8
	America, Inc.	8
11.	Gateway, Incorporated,	8
12.	Hewlett-Packard Company,	8
13.	JASC Software, Incorporated	8
14.	JVC Americas Corporation,	8
15.	Kyocera Wireless Corporation,	8
16.	Macromedia, Incorporated,	8
17.	Matsushita Electric Corporation of	8
	America,	<b>§</b>
18.	Mitsubishi Digital Electronics America,	§
	Incorporated,	§
19.	Océ North America, Incorporated,	§
20.	Onkyo U.S.A. Corporation,	<b>§</b>
21.	palmOne, Incorporated,	§ § § §
22.	Panasonic Communications Corporation	
	of America,	§
23.	Panasonic Mobile Communications	
	Development Corporation of USA,	§
24.	Ricoh Corporation,	Š
25.	Riverdeep, Incorporated (d.b.a	· §
	Broderbund,	§
26.	Savin Corporation,	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$
27.	Thomson, S.A.,	§
28.	Xerox Corporation,	§
	Defendants	§

## ORDER

The Court, having reviewed the Motion for Entry of Agreed Stipulation, finds the motion is well taken and should be GRANTED.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED, ADJUGED, AND DECREED that Defendant, Xerox Corporation, is granted to and through July 6, 2004 to answer or otherwise plead in response to Plaintiff's Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Signed this \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ day of

\_\_, 2004.

Honorable David Folsom United States District Court